



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/851,473	05/08/2001	Paul A. Smith	01CR052/KE	3765	
26383	7590 04/26/2005		EXAMINER		
ROCKWELL COLLINS, INC.			LY, ANH VU H		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 400 COLLINS ROAD NE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
M/S 124-323			2667		
CEDAR RAPIDS, IA 52498			DATE MAILED: 04/26/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/851,473	SMITH ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit	_			
1		Anh-Vu H Ly	2667				
The MAILING DATE of Period for Reply	this communication app	ears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence ac	ddress			
	S COMMUNICATION.  Inder the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1:  Index of this communication.  Index that thirty (30) days, a reply  Index the maximum statutory period velor period for reply will, by statute  Index the maximum start the mailing	36(a). In no event, however, within the statutory minimun vill apply and will expire SIX (course the application to become services of the application to be serviced or the application to be serviced	may a reply be timely filed  n of thirty (30) days will be considered time 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to commu	nication(s) filed on <u>04 Fe</u>	ebruary 2005.		•			
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL.	2b)∏ This	action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims			·				
4a) Of the above claim( 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are a 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are re 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are o	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of: <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ol> </li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dr			erview Summary (PTO-413) per No(s)/Mail Date				
Notice of Draisperson's Patent Draisperson's P			ice of Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			

Art Unit: 2667

#### DETAILED ACTION

### Response to Amendment

1. This communication is in response to applicant's amendment filed February 04, 2005. The proposed amendment to the claims has been entered. Claims 1-20 are pending.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fornes, J. "Proposal for an ALM Open Architecture" 1999 Institute of Electrical Engineers, 29-30 March, 1999, pages 25/1-25/10 further in view of Rasanen (US Patent No. 6,646,998 B1).

With respect to claims 1, 7, and 13, Fornes discloses (see Abstract) automatic link maintenance (ALM) architecture for use in STANAG 5066 system (a STANAG 5066 communication system). ALM operation requires exchange of messages between two peer HF nodes (a first unit and a second unit). Fornes discloses on pages 25/3-25/4 a technique for determining the optimum transmission parameters values for peer nodes (wherein first unit and second unit communicate data at a data rate selected in response to the first LQA and second LQA value) by exchanging recommendations (LQAs) or list of parameters and their standard values (LQAs) between two peers ALM. Herein, the determination includes the stages of requesting (first unit provides an LQA command to the second unit), replying and exchanging stages (second unit records a first LQA value in response to the LQA command and transmits the

Art Unit: 2667

first LQA value to the first unit, wherein the first unit records a second LQA value in response to the first LQA value and transmits the second LQA value to the second unit), and acknowledging.

Fornes discloses means to change link parameters of an established and ongoing link.

Fornes does not disclose that link parameters are set during initial linking. Rasanen discloses (see Abstract) that negotiating, at the call-setup stage, the data rate to be used by the data call in the bearer service between the mobile station and the mobile communication network. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the feature of negotiating the link parameters at the call-setup stage in Fornes's system, as suggested by Rasanen, to maximize the initiated transmission rate and system's capacity.

With respect to claims 2, 8, 9, 17, and 18, Fornes discloses on page 25/1 that the automatic link maintenance adapts the parameters values used by an HF transmission system (the system includes at least a transmitting entity and receiving entity) like transmit power, channel, waveform, interleave (communicate at a selected interleaving level), data rate, frame length, transmission duration, number of repetitions, etc... in order to get the optimum performances (wherein first unit and second unit communicate data at an interleaving level selected in response to first LOA value and second LOA value).

With respect to claims 3 and 16, Fornes discloses (see Abstract) automatic link maintenance (ALM) architecture for use in STANAG 5066 system. STANAG 5066 is a high frequency wireless system (wherein first and second communication units are wireless units).

Art Unit: 2667

With respect to claim 4, Fornes discloses (see Abstract) automatic link maintenance (ALM) architecture for use in STANAG 5066 system (wherein the first and second communication units communicate according to STANAG 5066).

With respect to claim 5, Fornes discloses on page 25/4 that the receiving node ALM will measure the channel characteristics of transmission (wherein LQA value indicates a quality of channel between the first unit and second unit).

With respect to claim 6, Fornes discloses on page 25/5 that the ALM uses the data exchanges between two HF nodes to exchange peer messages. ALM peer messages can either be inserted into user data flow in specific fields, such as EOW messages as it is in STANAG 5066 specification or use all link resources with a specific protocol such as Management messages as it is in STANAG 5066 specification (wherein LQA command includes a preamble, a first character, the first character being comprises of seven bits).

With respect to claims 10-12, Fornes discloses on pages 25/3-25/4 a technique for determining the optimum transmission parameters values for peer nodes by exchanging recommendations (LQAs) (wherein data rate is greater than 300 bits per second) or list of parameters and their standard values (LQAs) (the algorithm includes a maximum data rate, a default rate and a minimum data rate and uses the first LQA value to choose the data rate between the maximum data rate and minimum data rate) between two peers ALM. Herein, the

Art Unit: 2667

determination includes at least the initial stage, exchanged stages (the transmitter provides a command LOA value), and acknowledged stage.

With respect to claims 14, 15, 19, and 20, Fornes discloses on page 25/35 that the message includes S/N, BER, FER, MP, DS, etc...(wherein the quality command value signal and acknowledgement includes SINAD bits and BER bits).

### Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2667

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Anh-Vu H Ly whose telephone number is 571-272-3175. The

Page 6

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00am - 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Chi Pham can be reached on 571-272-3179. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

avl

CHI PHAM

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMIN"

TECHNICI OGY CENTER